

Urban Sanitation & Public Health

MAIN CONCEPTS

LEARNING OBSERVING

THINKING

+

THEORY ENVIRONMENT HYPOTHESIS KHIN MAUNG YI - VICE CHAIR, MES - WATSAN TD

ENVIRONMENTAL SANTATION (10 Cs)

- **1. Safe Drinking Water**
- 2. Sewage Treatment (S.Pit, Septic Tank, IMT, TF, AS, MF)
- 3. Refuse Disposal (Dump^{<u>N</u>:}, San. LF, CP, Incen^{<u>N</u>}: Hog Feed^{<u>N</u>:})
- 4. Personal Hygiene
- **5. Environmental & Soil (free Disease Produc^{N:} Bat, Path: Organism)**
- **6. General Sanitation (Building orientation to all)**
- 7. Air Sanitation (Pollution, CO₂, CO, H₂S, NO, CLFLC, CH₄, NH₃)
- 8. Lighting (minimum 50 foot candle=60 Watts for reading)
- 9. Ventilation (30%-40% of walling, 50-70ft²/c, 35m³/c.hr)
- **10. Sound Sanitation (Noise Control <80 decibel)** Source: Environmental Engg; & Sanitation- Joseph A. Salvato New York Nov: 1971,



What is Sanitation? Definitions Excreta & liquid wastes hygienic way disposing

a) Sanitation is the means of collecting and disposing of excreta and liquid wastes in a hygienic way so as not to endanger the health of individuals or the community as a whole. [1]



Reduce pathogens spread & maintain healthy living environment



b) (less common)

General term used to describe a battery of actions that all aim to reduce the spread of pathogens and maintain a healthy living environment. Specific actions related to sanitation include wastewater treatment, solid waste management and stormwater management. ^[2]

C Municipal Concerns – Wastewater T, Solid W T, Strom W Management So it mostly addresses on– WW treatment, solid waste T & storm water management



1. INDIA-818 2.CHINA-607 3.INDONESIA-109 4.NIGERIA-103 5.BANGLADESH-75





URBAN

Sanitation Challenges: Urban

- Greatest socio-economic & technical challenges
- Disease transmission public health
- The numbers !
- Simple (rural) versus complex (urban) solutions

URBAN – Complex **RURAL** - Simple





The Water Cycle and Related Urban Infrastructure

eawag aquatic research 8000



Source: http://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/waste/wastewater-mgmt-jun03/html/figure3-3.html







Washing hands after defecation or constructing safe sanitation facilities are primary barriers which prevent pathogens from entering the environment. Washing hands before eating or protecting food from flies are secondary barriers which prevent pathogens from infecting a new host or contaminating food.

adapted from WHO 2005



Water related diseases



oumv0rf;a&m*g wdkufzGdKufa&m*g o0rf;udkufa&m*g otonf;a&miftom0ga&m*	II. Water-washed (Water- scarce) water quantity problem					
ausmufuyfysuftenf;a& mifa&m*gansmitted txufvSefatmufavOma& m*g disease	 OJa&m*g ? ta&jymjynfwnfem rsufcrf;pyfa&m*g? Orf;ysuf0rf;avQm rsufpda&mif&rffa&m*g? 					
•qD;vrf;aMumif;ESif h tpma[mif;tdrfydk;0if cif;a&m*g (Urinary and rectal schistomiasis)	IV. WateyfauG;?relatediSufzsm;Pathogen?transmittedifajcclose/nearaxatef?35Mosquito-beyeetOD;aE					
	<pre>vumv0rf;a&m*g vdkufzGdKufa&m*g orf;udkufa&m*g tonf;a&miftom0ga&m* orf;a&miftom0ga&m* org ausmufuyfvsuftonf;a& n*g ausmufuyfvsuftonf;a& ausmufuyfv</pre>					



6

SANITATION SAFETY PLANNING

MANUAL FOR SAFE USE AND DISPOSAL OF WASTEWATER, GREYWATER AND EXCRETA



Compendium of Sanitation Systems and Technologies

nd

evised edition

SANITATION SAFETY PLAN - 6 MODULES

- 1. PREPARE FOR SANITATION SAFETY PLAN
- 2. DESCRIBE THE SANITATION SYSTEM
- 3. IDENTIFY HAZADOUS EVENTS, ASSESS EXISTING CONTROL MEASURES & EXPOSURE RISKS
- 4. DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT AN INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN
- 5. MONITOR CONTROL MEASURES & VERIFY PERFORMANCE
- 6. DEVELOP SUPPORTING PROGRAMME & REVIEW PLANS SOURCE: WHO

MODULE 1 PREPARE FOR SSP

MODULES

- 1.1 Establish priority areas or activities
- 1.2 Set objectives
- 1.3 Define the system boundary and lead organization
- 1.4 Assemble the team

- Agreed priority areas, purpose, scope, boundaries and leadership for SSP
- A multidisciplinary team representing the sanitation chain for development and implementation of SSP

MODULE 2 DESCRIBE THE SANITATION SYSTEM

MODULES

- 2.1 Map the system
- 2.2 Characterize the waste fractions
- 2.3 Identify potential exposure groups
- 2.4 Gather compliance and contextual information
- 2.5 Validate the system description

- A validated map and description of the system
- Potential exposure groups
- An understanding of the waste stream constituents and waste related health hazards
- An understanding of the factors affecting the performance and vulnerability of the system
- A compilation of all other relevant technical, legal and regulatory information

MODULE 3

IDENTIFY HAZARDOUS EVENTS, ASSESS EXISTING CONTROL MEASURES AND EXPOSURE RISKS

MODULES

- 3.1 Identify hazards and hazardous events
- 3.2 Refine exposure groups and exposure routes
- 3.3 Identify and assess existing control measures
- 3.4 Assess and prioritize the exposure risk

- A risk assessment table which includes a comprehensive list of hazards, and summarizes hazardous events, exposure groups and routes, existing control measures and their effectiveness
- A prioritized list of hazardous events to guide system improvements

MODULE 4 DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT AN INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

MODULES

4.1 Consider options to control identified risks4.2 Use selected options to develop an incremental improvement plan

4.3 Implement the improvement plan

OUTPUTS

 An implemented plan with incremental improvements which protects all exposure groups along the sanitation chain

MODULE 5 MONITOR CONTROL MEASURES AND VERIFY PERFORMANCE

MODULES 5.1 Define and implement operational monitoring 5.2 Verify system performance 5.3 Audit the system

OUTPUTS
An operational monitoring plan
A verification monitoring plan
Independent assessment

MODULE 6 DEVELOP SUPPORTING PROGRAMMES AND REVIEW PLANS

MODULES

- 6.1 dentify and implement supporting programmes and management procedures
- 6.2 Periodically review and update the SSP outputs

- Supporting programmes and management procedures that improve implementation of the SSP outputs
- Up to date SSP outputs responding to internal and external changes



Ammonia & Nitrate Pollution

- Nitrogen Cycle & pollution
 2 NH⁴⁺ + 4O₂ = 2NO₃ + 4H⁺ + 2H₂O
 1 mg NH₄ = 3.6 mg O₂
 1 mg NH4⁺ = 3.44 mg NO3⁻
- Iron & Manganese Removal
 4 Fe²⁺ + O₂ + 10 H₂O = 4 Fe (OH)₃ + 8H⁺
 1 mg Fe²⁺ = 0.14 mg O₂
- $6 \text{ Mn}^{2+} + 3 \text{ O}_2 + 6 \text{ H}_2 0 = 6 \text{ Mn O}_2 + 12 \text{ H}^+$ 1 mg Mn²⁺ = 0.29 mg O₂







PRIMARY - SEPTIC TANK







Image: Second systemImage: Second systemImage: Second system1. Sludge volume I/g BODrem=0.005 BODr2. SS/COD = $0.35 \cdot 0.55 - 0.42$ 3. Surface load = 0.6 m3/m2 w/w peak flow3. Surface load = 0.6 m3/m2 w/w peak flow4. CH4 produced /kg COD rem= $0.35 m3/kg$ 5. Height(scum) = $0.2 - 0.3 m$ 6. Hydraulic RT = $1.5 - 2.0 hrs$ 7.L/B ratio = $2.1 - 3.1$ 8. Outlet Liq depth= $1.8 - 2.2 m$ 9. 1st & 2nd Chamber ratio If 2 Chams, 1st Cham = $2/3$ of total length	Thumb Rules (ABR)1.SS/COD-Dom. =.3555422. Sludge Volume - 5-10% of volume of ABR 3. CH4-produced /KgCODrem - 0.35 m3/kg4. Scum volume 10 l/cap5. HRT- not <8 hrs, better 16-20 hrs, if > 20 hrs, pollution removal is very minimum6. B/H ratio - 0.4 7. Distance bet: pipes - not exceed	Thumb Rules (AF)1. SS/COD -Domestic:0.35-0.45-0.422. HRT - 24-48 hrs3. Filter height -0,75 - 1 m4. Specific surfaceof filtermedium 80 -120m2/ m35. Voids in the filtermass 30-45%6. Size of filter 8-14cm dia,cinder7. Up-flow velocityMax 2m/h8. Organic load <4	<u>Thumb Rules</u> (HPGF) 1. Void of gravel – 35%- 45% 2. Max BOD on X sectional area- 150 g/m3 s 3. Max organic on chosen surface (Organic load limit) – 10 g//m2 BOD 4. Gravel size– 5- 7mm, 10- 12 mm, 50-70mm dia., bigger size at inlet & outlet	No Thumb Rules (Polishing Pond) V = 12 m3/d*2d=24m3 Sur. Area= .24m3/1m= 24 m3 Dimensions: W= 4 m, L=6m
rem=0.35 m3/kg 5. Height(scum) = 0.2 – 0.3 m 6. Hydraulic RT = 1.5 – 2.0 hrs 7.L/B ratio = 2.1 – 3.1 8. Outlet Liq depth=1.8– 2.2 m 9. 1st & 2nd Chamber ratio If 2 Chams, 1st Cham = 2/3 of total length If 3 Chams, 1st Cham = 1/2 total length. 11. Assure wall opening bet. under scum & sludge top, have MH, Water tight, Vent 12. Desludg interval = 18– 24 m	 4. Scum volume 10 I/cap 5. HRT- not <8 hrs, better 16-20 hrs, if > 20 hrs, pollution removal is very minimum 6. B/H ratio - 0.4 7. Distance bet: pipes - not exceed 0.30 m 8. Nos of Chambers - At least 4 chambers 9. Outlet water depth- 1.8 m- 2.2 m 10. Up-flow vel: - 0.9 - 1.2 m/h 11. Organic load - < 6. kg/m2* dov: POD 	medium 80 -120 m2/ m3 5. Voids in the filter mass 30-45% 6. Size of filter 8-14 cm dia,cinder 7. Up-flow velocity Max 2m/h 8. Organic load <4 kg/m3 *day COD 9. Outlet water depth – 1.8- 2.2 m 10. CH4–produced /Kg CODrem – - 0.35 m3/kg	 3. Max organic on chosen surface (Organic load limit) – 10 g//m2 BOD 4. Gravel size– 5- 7mm, 10- 12 mm, 50-70mm dia., bigger size at inlet & outlet 5. Slope 1% 6. Height of filter 50 - 60 cm 7. Construction – Swivel at inlet & outlet to adjust water level 	V = 12 m3/d*2d=24m3 Sur. Area= .24m3/1m= 24 m3 Dimensions: W= 4 m, L=6m Diameter 5.5 m

CDD- Consortium DEWATS Disemination



Reutilizing Wastewater for Plants







SECONARY - ACTIVATED SLUDGE or SBR

MYANMAR







Newtown Fig 2.2 Process Flow Diagram







• $NH^{4+} + 2O_2 = NO_3 + 2H^+ + H_2O_3$

•
$$1 \text{ mg NH}_4^+ = 3.6 \text{ mg O}_2$$

•
$$1 \text{ mg NH}_4^+ = 3.44 \text{ mg NO3}^-$$

In Water Quality

If NO3⁻ is > 50 mg/l \rightarrow Cause Blue Baby disease



Denitrification



12.1 Basic Technology of Denitrification*Nitrification*

$$\mathbf{NH_4^+} + (3/2)\mathbf{O}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{NO_2^-} + \mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{O} + 2\mathbf{H}^4$$
$$\mathbf{NO_2^-} + (1/2)\mathbf{O}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{NO_3^-}$$

• Denitrification

 $2NO_2^- + 3(H_2) \rightarrow N_2^+ + 2 H_2O + 2OH$ $2NO_3^- + 5(H_2) \rightarrow N_2^+ + 4 H_2O + 2OH^-$

• In this reaction 4.6 kg of oxygen are consumed to oxidize 1 kg of NH₃-N, and the oxidation of ammonia *reduces the alkalinity in the water.* As the nitrification bacteria are sensitive to temperature and pH, the pH control and temperature range maintenance shall be performed carefully to effectively promote the reaction.

	Seleman and a	IA.	and the second	10 - No		5	Atomi	c numt	ber	NI el	and the second	chine	IA - B	Oroup	No121	former whell.		A The		Nob gase	le es
	1	1 H 1 0079	IIA	ML . H		1 H 1 0079								e le fa	IIIA	IVA	VA	VIA	VIIA	2 He 4 002	60
	2	3 Li 6 941	4 Be 9 01218	Sal and		5	Atomi	c mass					Const Const		5 B 10 81	6 C 12 011	7 N 14.0067	8 O 15 9994	9 F 18 99840;	10 Ne 20 17	9
S	3	11 Na 22 98977	12 Mg 24 305	ШВ	Tre IVB	nsi bic VB	VIB	dals VIIB		VIII	VIII ,		m 	B 2	13 Al 26.98154	14 Si 28 0855	15 P 30.97376	16 S 32.06	17 Cl 35 453	18 Ar 39.94	13
Period	4	19 K 39 0983	20 Ca 40 08	21 SC 44 9559	22 Ti 47 90	23 V 50 9415	24 Cr 51 995	25 Mn 54 9380	26 Fe 55 847	27 Co 58.9332	28 Ni 58 70	29 CL 63 54	3 Z 6 65	0 n 38	31 Ga 69 72	32 Ge 72 59	33 As 74 9216	34 Se 78 96	35 Br 79 904	36 Kr 83 80	
	5	37 Rb 85 4678	38 Sr 87 62	39 Y 88 9059	40 Zr 91 22	41 ND 92 9064	42 MO 95 94	43 TC (98)	44 Ru 101.07	45 Rh 102 9055	46 Pd 106 4	47 Ag 107 8	4 C 58 112	8 d 41	49 In 114 82	50 Sn 118 69	51 Sb 121.75	52 Te 127 60	53 126 9045	54 Xe 131 3	0
	6	55 CS 132 9054	56 Ba 137 33	57 *La 138 9055	72 Hf 178 49	73 Ta 180 9479	74 W 183 85	75 Re 186 207	76 OS 190 2	77 Ir 192 22	78 Pt 195 09	79 Au 196.96	8 H 65 200	0 9 59	81 TI 204.37	82 Pb 207 2	83 Bi 208 9804	84 Po (209)	85 At (210)	86 Rn (222)	
	7	87 Fr (223)	88 Ra 226 0254	89 †Ac 227.0278	104 Unq (261)	105 Unp (262)	106 Unh (263)		783		17		1.6.4							I	
								58 Ce 140.12	59 Pr 140.9077	60 NCI 144.24	61 Pm (145)	62 Sm 150.4	63 Eu 151.96	64 Gd 157.25	65 Tb 158 925	66 Dy 162.50	67 HO 164.9304	68 Er 167.26	69 Tm 168.9342	70 Yb 173.04	71 LU 174.967
WYANE	AR	a gou						90 Th 232.0381	91 Pa 231 0359	92 U 238.029	93 Np 237 0482	94 Pu (244)	95 Am (243)	96 Cm (247)	97 Bk (247)	98 Cf (251)	99 Es (254)	100 Fm (257)	101 Md (258)	102 NO (259)	103 Lr (260)
ERING	SOCIETY ILLING	101		M	s do		111	Table	e 3,10	The	Perio	dic	table		1, 1, 1			Z	. 21		





Waste-to-Energy



Landfill Gas to Energy



Waste Reduction & Recycle







Solid Wasta Managament Naadadl

SEARCA Policy Brief Series 2006 - 1

Solid Waste Management Badly Needed in Myanmar'

Asia's urbanized areas produce about 760,000 tons of solid waste daily, and are expected to be more than double by year 2025. And that is not even the worse case scenario.

According to the World Bank, municipalities in developing countries spend 20-50% of their budget on solid waste management. Sadly though, 30-60% of urban solid wastes in Asian countries remain uncollected and less than 50% of the population served. In some cases, as much as 80% of the trash collection and transport equipment is non-functional.

Despite the palpable urgency to solve this issue, local governments in third world countries are stumped in the achievement of an effective solid waste management system (SWMS) in urban areas for several factors. These factors include lack of funds and resources, community involvement, collective and participatory planning, technical knowhow of staff, discipline on the part of waste producers, and updated policies.

A Microcosm of the Waste Management Concern

Yangon City, the capital of the Union of Myanmar, exemplifies an alarming neglect of this wate management issue. Currently, the City has a population of 5.5 million with an annual growth rate of about 2%. More people mean more waste. Rapid urbanization and population growth renders the City's human health and environment vulnerable to the effects of inefficient waste management system.

Seinn Lei Aye, in her dissertation titled "Strategic Solid Waste Management Planning for Yargon City, Myanmar", defined solid waste management (SWM) as the "generation, storage, collection, transfer, and transport, processing, and disposal" of solid waste, according to social and economic needs and environmental standards.

In the case of Yangon City, the municipal area generates approximately 2,900 tons of solid waste daily, with a daily collection efficiency of 54%. The average waste generation of public sector is about 0.53 kilogram per capita per day.

Yangon City's SWMS is 'centrally implemented, labor-intensive, and uncontrolled.' The Pollution Control and Cleansing Department (PCCD), under the Yangon City Development Committee (VCDC), administers and performs the municipal SWMS. The 33 Townships in the City are classified into 4 Districts - North, South, East and West. PCCD maintains offices in each township, and each township also executes wate collection, street sweeping, and transportation practices.

The current system, however, proves inadequate. This lack of an adequate SWMS in terms of planning legislation, capacity building, and low level of awareness on environmental management, obsolete equipment, and insufficient budget among other limitations, is quite alarming.

High Cost. In the 2003-2004 Financial Year, the Yangon City SWMS incurred a total expense of 1.2 million US dollars to collect a total waste volume of

SOUTHEAST ASIAN REGIONAL CENTER FOR GRADUATE STUDY AND RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURE College, Laguna 4031, PHILIPPINES Tel 63749 5362290 | Fax 63 49 5367097 www.searca.org | postfilogil.searca.org

245,098 tons. Meanwhile, the system recovered a mere total of 0.98 million US dollars.

Lack of Technical Enou-bow Aside from the high cost of the overall SWMS, waste management officials and staff lack the proper know-how on waste management technologies and have not yet fully grasped its social, economical, and ecological implications.

Outdated policies and legislations. For an SWMS to be adequate and effective in a rapidly growing city such as Yangon, there has to be a strategic plan. Unfortunately, legislation on Yangon City's SWM was formulated way back in 1922, and nothing else followed ever since. This legislation is contained in Sections 111 and 112 of the City of Rangoon Municipal Act of 1922 entitled "Scavenging and Cleansing Acts*. This empowers YCDC to act on waste management within their jurisdiction and to adopt resulations and standards for the storage, collection, and disposal of solid waste. However, implementing rules and regulations have yet to be put in place, and the existing ones updated.

Policy Recommendations

Based on Aye's study, the SWMP will be rendered effective if supported by policies in the form of legislation, regulations, and administrative orders issued by YCDC and the Mayor. The recommended policies and ordinances that need to be drawn are:

 An ordinance to support the ecologically sound practices on SWM such as the requirement for environmental impact assessment (ELA) for the industries and waste segregation programs for residential, commercial, and other establishments;

- An ordinance to support the collection of waste management darges in the form of directuser charges (which depend on volume of waste generated) and monthly charges from households, institutions, commercial stablishmens, and industries;
- An anti-littering ordinance that penalizes illegal dumping of wastes.

Other recommendations toward an effective SWMS are:

- Active community involvement and participation through appropriate information dissemination and knowledge proliferation on proper SWM;
- Waste minimization;
- Efficient and effective solid waste collection and transportation system; and
- Effective cost recovery program essential in developing waste management practices. (Marie Fjel Z. Mananan)

¹ Seinn Lei Aye, PhD. Swategic Solid Waste Management Planning for Yangon City, Myanmar. University of the Philippines Los Bathas (UPLB). December 2005.

Yangon City's SWMS

- "centrally implemented, labor-intensive, and uncontrolled."
- The Pollution Control and Cleansing Department (PCCD), under the Yangon City Development Committee (YCDC), administers and performs the municipal SWMS.
- The 33 Townships in the City are classified into 4 Districts North, South, East and West.
- PCCD maintains offices in each township, executing waste collection, street sweeping, and transportation practices.
- The current system, however, proves inadequate.
- Lack of an adequate SWMS in terms of
 - planning,
 - legislation,
 - capacity building,
- Low level of awareness on environmental management,
- Obsolete equipment, and insufficient budget,
- Other limitations









Processing and Disposal of MSW



• Landfill

- Composting
- Recycling and recovery
- Incineration

Landfill

- The most preferred method for the final disposal of solid waste.
- Most of these sites practice open dumping.
- Landfill Gas
- Waste-to -Energy



Figure 5-13 Conversion of an open dump to a sanitary landfill. (a) Existing open dump (b) Steep slope reduced to less than 2:1 to allow safe operation of equipment (c) Refuse compacted and covered (d) Refuse area operated as a sanitary landfill

Composting

- The second preferred method of solid waste disposal,
- Due to the high % of organic materials.
- Compost
- Biofertilizer
- Organic Farming

Recycling and recovery

- Generally carried out by the informal sector.
- Collection of recyclable waste is done in several steps such as
 - door to door collection,
 - collection at secondary and primary transfer stations
 - even in the disposal sites.
- Due to the collection systems
 - the low quality of scrap,
 - the recycling rate is low

44

• high number of waste pickers working.

Incineration

- Due to the high capital, operation and maintenance costs involved for the installation of incineration plants,
 - incineration is not popular as a waste disposal system.
- the major portion of the MSW is organic with relatively high moisture content
 - leads to a low calorific value



DESALINATION



WASTE WATER



Main Air Compressor in Botadaung Township, Sewage are driven by air compressing to Treatment Plant



- Year of Establishment
- (6) Steam Turbine Engines
- Change of year to electrical driven

+(2) Electrical Air Compressors of 200 Horse power were reinstalled

- +(2) Electrical Air Compressors of 120 Horse power were reinstalled
- ◆Total land areas 2.75 acres

- 1888 year

Establishment of Sewage Treatment Plant Layout plan of Treatment plant Force Main Sewer Line Programme for Sewage Treatment Project 24"Ø,36"Ø Detail Design Main Manhole 30'x11'x16.5' Implementation **Grit Chamber &** Installation Autobar Screem Pump Sump Primary Sedimentation Tank 48'x30'x22' 48'x30'x22' Commissioning Installation **Aeration Tank** 248'x124'x15' Training **Design Criteria:** ➢Area of Plant - 5.56 acres Design population - 300,000 Daily wastewater discharge-Secondary Sedimentation Tank 75'Øx22' **Sludge Thickners** - 14775 m3/day 40'Øx13' **BOD** influent **Dewatering House** - 600mg / I 50'x30'x28' **Chlorination Tank** - 20 mg / I BOD effluent 75'x20'x5' **Sludge Digesters** Suspended solid influent- 700 mg / 100'x50'x15' **Equilization Tank** Outlet -Sand Dried Tank Suspended solid effluent- 40 mg / I 40'x10' 50'x50'

Microscope



Types of bacteria occured in activated sludge







Rotifer



Single stalked ciliate



Nematode



Flagellate



Crawling ciliate



Free swimming cillate



Euglena

Sewerage System of YCDC

Estimated population with sewer(conventional sewer system) is 300,000 people. Main content of system are

1) Air Compressor Station(2) Pneumatic Ejectors(3) Air Pipeline

4) Gravity sewer pipeline(5) Wastewater Treatment Plant



Wastewater treatment plant Air compressor station Sewage ejector - (35) Nos

-Jotal length of sewer pipe line - (10.75) km(12"Cl to 36"Cl Pipe) Manholes - 2114 Nos

SEWERAGE ZONES AREA (13 ZONES)



Zone	Township	
C1	Botahtaung,Puzundaung,Kyauktada,Pebedam,	
W1	Lanmadaw,Latha,Alone,a part of Kyeemyintdaing,Dagon,a part of	
	Bahan,a part of Kamaryut,Sanchaung	
C2+E1	a part of Bahan, Mingalartaungnyunt, Yankin, Thingangyun, Tamwe,	
	S-Okkalapa,a part of Mayangone,N-Okkalapa,N-Dagon	
W2	a part of Kamaryut, Hlaing, a part of Mayangone	
E3	Taketa, Dawbon, S-Dagon	
N1	Insein	
E4	Dagon Seikkan	
E2	East Dagon	
N2	Mingalardon	
N3	Shwepyithar	
S 1	Dala	
W3	a part of Kyeemyintdaing,Seikgyi khanaungto,Seikkan	
W4	Hlaing Tharyar	





DRAINAGE WITH CONTOUR MAPPING

Contour Mapping & should be disposed to Agriculture & Low Lying Area



Relation Between Drain Discharge and Rainfall Intensity

A



- 2.78 dimensional term for unit conversion
 - runoff coefficient between 0 and 1 describing the permeability of the ground rainfall intensity [mm/h] catchment area [hectares]

Findings Drip irrigation

- Drip irrigation and mulch trench systems are most appropriate (sub-surface or close to surface
- Alternative where greywater volumes are small and soils are inappropriate for agriculture: Tower gardens

The Problem

Causes and Types of Urban F coding



1) Lack of driinage infrastructure

- (2) Backup due to elevated downstream water levels
- (3) Flooding is low-lying areas
- (4) Innundation caused by high river water levels

(5) Blockage of the drainage system



Mulch: m xture of leafs, wood, straw,...

Enables ever distribution of greywater in trenches or around trees

Requires only primary treatment



ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION

Global Hit June 5 2015

Don't miss the boat



Seven Billion Dreams.

One Planet.

Consume with Care.

United Nations Environment Programme

World Environment Day

In support of:

GLOBAL ACTION





Credtics – WHO, **eawag**, Dr. Pham Duc Phuc:, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nguyen Viet Anh, Ms. Thu Le (MPH), CENPHER, HANOI, AIT, Bangkok,YCDC, Innovating Life MES-MMR, CDD, Bangalore, India & BORDA